

With 'Nanoweapons Of Mass Destruction' Having Moved From Science Fiction To Reality, Globalists Could Use This 'Invisible' Technology To Kill Off A Huge Part Of The Population Without The Masses Even Knowing What Hit Them

February 19, 2018

By Stefan Stanford - All News Pipeline - Live Free Or Die

While many people might tell you to put on a tinfoil hat if you warned them they were eating 'nanotechnology' when they sat down for their daily meals, you could nudge them to read this 2012 story from Nano Works which within the first paragraph they reported:

If you ever have wondered where nanotechnology will take us, look no further than the potential applications in the area of functional food by engineering biological molecules toward functions very different from those they have in nature, opening up a whole new area of research and development. Of course, there seems to be no limit to what food technologists are prepared to do to our food and nanotechnology will give them a whole new set of tools to go to new extremes.

That story from many years ago then proceeded to give us an overview of what nanotechnology applications were already being researched, tested and applied to 'food technology' as we see outlined in their graphic below. And while their graphic outlines what some might call 'beneficial' applications of this technology, the obvious potential of this rapidly growing field being abused is stunning as reported upon within this story and detailed in the videos below.



Agriculture	Food Processing	Food Packaging	Supplements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single molecule detection to determine enzyme/ substrate interactions • Nanocapsules for delivery of pesticides, fertilizers and other agrichemicals more efficiently • Delivery of growth hormones in a controlled fashion • Nanosensors for monitoring soil conditions and crop growth • Nanochips for identity preservation and tracking • Nanosensors for detection of animal and plant pathogens • Nanocapsules to deliver vaccines • Nanoparticles to deliver DNA to plants (targeted genetic engineering) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nanocapsules to improve bioavailability of nutraceuticals in standard ingredients such as cooking oils • Nanoencapsulated flavor enhancers • Nanotubes and nanoparticles as gelation and viscosifying agents • Nanocapsule infusion of plant based steroids to replace a meat's cholesterol • Nanoparticles to selectively bind and remove chemicals or pathogens from food • Nanoemulsions and -particles for better availability and dispersion of nutrients 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antibodies attached to fluorescent nanoparticles to detect chemicals or foodborne pathogens • Biodegradable nanosensors for temperature, moisture and time monitoring • Nanoclays and nanofilms as barrier materials to prevent spoilage and prevent oxygen absorption • Electrochemical nanosensors to detect ethylene • Antimicrobial and antifungal surface coatings with nanoparticles (silver, magnesium, zinc) • Lighter, stronger and more heat-resistant films with silicate nanoparticles • Modified permeation behavior of foils 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nanosize powders to increase absorption of nutrients • Cellulose nanocrystal composites as drug carrier • Nanoencapsulation of nutraceuticals for better absorption, better stability or targeted delivery • Nanococheates (coiled nanoparticles) to deliver nutrients more efficiently to cells without affecting color or taste of food • Vitamin sprays dispersing active molecules into nanodroplets for better absorption

Examples for nanotechnology in food applications (Source: Nanowerk)

As Grist reported back on December 3rd of 2012 in their story titled "Nanoparticles In Your Food? You're Already Eating Them", "the current lack of oversight and regulations, and the growing consensus that more information and transparency are both sorely needed in relation to this growing field" prove to us that dating back 6 years, there have been great concerns over the dangers of nanotech and the safety of big dairy, big pharma and big government putting microscopic pieces of metal into the foods we eat and medicines we take.


As Grist reported then:

Nanotechnology involves the engineering and manipulation of particles at a nano scale. Nanoparticles, as they're called, are measured in nanometers or billionths of one meter. Another way to put it: If a nanoparticle were the size of a football, a red blood cell would be the size of the field. Although some nanoparticles have been found to exist in nature (carbon nanoparticles exist in caramelized foods, for instance, and silverware has been shown to shed nano-sized silver particles), it's the nanoparticles that are engineered in laboratories that have environmental health advocates concerned.

Here's the thing: It turns out most materials start behaving differently at that size. According to the British corporate accountability organization As You Sow, which has been keeping tabs on the nanotech industry for several years, "materials reduced to the nanoscale either through engineered or natural processes can suddenly show very different properties compared to what they exhibit on a macroscale, enabling unique applications such as alterations in color, electrical conductance, or permeability."

Considering the fact that nanoparticles are now used to help deliver nutrients, keep food fresh for longer, and act as thickening and coloring agents in processed foods, these "different properties" might be cause for concern. Or — at the very least — they might be reason enough to conduct thorough research into their health impacts.

In actuality, companies are not required to disclose nano-sized ingredients, nor is there much active questioning about their safety. Instead, Belli writes, "From the government's perspective, nano forms of silver, iron or titanium are no different, fundamentally, from their scaled-up counterparts which have already been safety tested, so the agency has ushered the particles into the food supply under the Generally Recognized as Safe provision."




That's right...while the FDA is worried about our homeopathic medications and about what natural health supplements we might be consuming, they've green lighted microscopic metals being put into Americans food. And as the Grist story reported back in 2012, there's likely a huge profit motive to the FDA as this field will be a \$20 billion+ field by 2020 according to estimates.

According to this September of 2015 story from the Sydney Morning Herald, nanotechnology was regularly being found in many popular foods in Australia despite repeated denials by the regulator's there that such tech was even being put in foods. While their story reports that for many years, Food Standards Australia and New Zealand had claimed "*there is little evidence of nanotechnology in food because no company had applied for approval*", it had therefore "*not tested for nor regulated the use of nanoparticles.*"

Found in all of the products seen in the graphic above and many more, they report these technologies are sometimes used to stretch the shelf life and improve the texture of food before giving us this 'disclaimer'.

There is no conclusive evidence that nano-titanium dioxide, which whitens and brightens, and nano-silica, which prevents caking, are completely safe to eat. They have been shown to interfere with the immune system and cause cell damage.



According to this Wikipedia entry titled 'Unethical human experimentation in the United States', experiments that have been performed on 'human test subjects' here in the United States have been ongoing throughout American history but particularly in the 20th century. Considered not only unethical but most often performed illegally, *without the knowledge, consent, or informed consent of the test subjects*, it's likely not a coincidence that these tests upon Americans increased measurably after the arrival of more than 1,600 German scientists, engineers and technicians, many former leaders of the Nazi party, between 1945 and 1959 in Operation Paperclip.

It was well known that Nazi scientists and doctors had been performing totally unethical and deadly experiments upon huge numbers of their prisoners during World War 2 and the fact that the CIA began their own unethical experiments upon Americans and Canadians during that time period is likely not simply a 'coincidence.

What kinds of experiments? From Wikipedia:

The experiments include: the exposure of people to chemical and biological weapons (including infection of people with deadly or debilitating diseases), human radiation experiments, injection of people with toxic and radioactive chemicals, surgical experiments, interrogation and torture experiments, tests involving mind-altering substances, and a wide variety of others.

Many of these tests were performed on children, the sick, and mentally disabled individuals, often under the guise of "medical treatment". In many of the studies, a large portion of the subjects were poor, racial minorities, or prisoners.

Funding for many of the experiments was provided by the United States government, especially the United States military, the Central Intelligence Agency, or private corporations

involved with military activities. The human research programs were usually highly secretive, and in many cases information about them was not released until many years after the studies had been performed.

The ethical, professional, and legal implications of this in the United States medical and scientific community were quite significant, and led to many institutions and policies that attempted to ensure that future human subject research in the United States would be ethical and legal. Public outrage in the late 20th century over the discovery of government experiments on human subjects led to numerous congressional investigations and hearings, including the Church Committee and Rockefeller Commission, both of 1975 and the 1994 Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments, among others.

**NANOTECHNOLOGY
NEEDS LABELLING**

Nanoparticles are linked to:

- Asthma,
- Bronchitis
- Emphysema
- Lung cancer
- Parkinson's
- Alzheimer's
- Crohn's
- Colon cancer
- Arteriosclerosis
- Blood clots
- Arrhythmia
- Heart disease
- Organ disease
- Neurodegenerative disease

<http://preventdisease.com/nanoparticles.shtml>

PreventDisease.com

With that public outrage from the late 20th century of Americans being terrorized and experimented upon by our own govt and their agencies now replaced by sheep who express their misdirected anger by screaming helplessly at the sky, we have to wonder how else these technologies that are able to get inside of our bodies are being used.

In the very interesting comment made on this story by ANP reader 'Horizontal Shadow' we see a theory that is especially alarming considering the warnings that this years flu shot might be a bioweapon while MKUltra goes viral after the recent school shooting in Florida at the same times as nanotechnology is being used in the most sinister of ways.


MK ultra's true purpose was to biologically weaponize hallucinogen drugs through bacteria. I don't care what they documents say. Mk Ultra and project sea- spray were practically ran as a joint operation. One example of such bio weapon is "pandas syndrome". It's a strep infection that attacks the basal ganglia of the brain which regulates movement, behavior, emotions, etc.

The perfect bio weapon. Insuring their institutions remain profitable and making people perfect subjects to "hypnotize/brainwash" so to say. With bio-nano technology in it's infancy, we are soon screwed. The only missing piece of evidence missing for me is proving these mass shooters also have a history of bacterial infection of some kind.

As we hear in this first video below from the AFP news agency, nanoparticles are now everywhere - in our clothes, food, cosmetics and medications. 50,000 times smaller than a strand of hair, their video reports that tiny size gives it unprecedented physiochemical properties such as resistance and transparency. And while the AFP video details for us some of the positive applications of nano tech, it also shares some of the negative health effects, linked to asthma, bronchitis, heart disease, lung cancer and many more negative health conditions as seen in the graphic above.

Dep 886 9 doc 09 - R UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENT

**DTFN Estimates for Nano Domestic Quell
Phase 4 Updated Compliance**




June 2013

**Assistant Director of Advanced Projects
The Office of DARPA Command
For DEPSECDEF EYES ONLY**

08-108
72

07

**Nano Domestic Quell
Revised Estimates for NDQ Protocols**



National Nano Domestic Quell (NDQ) Protocols for Phase 4

DTFN Estimated Rates & Phase 4 Updated Compliance for NDQ

Current total infection rate for United States general pop. - 87.2%

Projected infection for general U.S. populace by January, 2014 is estimated to reach 98%. Total infections for ages 18 and above may reach 99%. DTFN projects dispersal mechanisms will require additional resources for Phase 4 of NDQ. DTFN recommends an increase in the following medium inflows and outflows, specific to liquid dispersal:

- Pepsi Co: 9.5%
- Neutle ADB: 8.5%
- Chicago Municipal: 5.1%
- AirBelt Municipal: 4.4%
- Danone: 4.2%
- Coca-Cola: 4.1%
- Los Angeles Municipal: 2.9%
- Seattle Municipal: 1.8%

Dispersal outflows have shown significant improvement in population infection rates.

Recommended inflow increases deployed in October, 2012 resulted in a net increase of infection rates by 0.82%, slightly exceeding projections.

DTFN assures DoD compliance for Phase 4 will be completed one week ahead of schedule.

No further recommendations have been submitted by DTFN for Phase 5. An expected update to outflow estimated rates will be forthcoming before Phase 5 initialization.

Approved for Release Pg. 1

Whether rained down upon us via chemtrails sprayed into the sky, put into our foods and drinking water or into our medications, experts warn there is very little that we can do to avoid nanotech as its even being found in organic food of all places. Yet as we read in this story from Super Soldier Talk and hear in the 2nd and 3rd videos below, is nanotechnology being used in a much more sinister way upon unsuspecting Americans?

While 'Nano Domestic Quell' was even debated within the 'conspiracy theory' community back in 2013 over whether it was legitimate or just some elaborate hoax after the documents seen above were allegedly leaked, considering everything else we've been learned about 'deep state enemies of Americans within' since President Trump got into office, is some kind of a 'kill switch' being forced into our bodies completely out of the realm of possibility?

As Chemtrails Planet reported back on March 9th of 2017, blue filaments found in the US food supply are consistent with 'morgellons fibers' found in 'chemtrails' according to a microscopic

forensic investigation done by Health Ranger Mike Adams. Do such fibers also contain nanotechnologies? As the Weaponized Bacterials and Viruses blog reported, *“heavy metals, biological pathogens, “fibers”, polymers, and other dangerous materials are being sprayed everyday, all around the planet. The materials are fine particulates and are easily breathed in. Once in the body, they become systemic in less than a minute”* according to Gwenn Scott, N.D.

And in this March 23rd of 2017 story over at the Huffington Post titled "Will Nanoweapons of Mass Destruction (NMD) Be Our Final Invention?", even they reported that while recent polls found most people in America do not know anything at all about nanotechnology, let alone about nanoweapons, along came this bombshell: *The United States, Russia, and China know how to make toxic nanoparticles in quantities sufficient to cripple an adversary's populace.*

Warning us within their story that nanoweapons of mass destruction have now moved from 'science fiction' to reality, we see why these weapons that we can't even see could be used in the most sinister of ways without anybody even knowing that they were being used until far too late. And while we rarely use Huff Post stories on ANP, we'll close with this dark excerpt from their story.

A populace exposed to toxic nanoparticles may experience serious illnesses, including death. In sufficient quantity, toxic nanoparticles could wipe out New York City, Beijing, or Moscow, which qualifies them as nanoweapons of mass destruction (NMD). Delivery to the target populace could be as simple as introducing it into the city's reservoirs. Even a single individual may become the target. A recent 2016 headline in Pravda, Russia's state run newspaper, reads, “US nano weapon killed Venezuela's Hugo Chavez, scientists say.” The Venezuelan scientists mentioned in the article attributed Hugo Chavez's death to toxic nanoparticles that cause cancer, but no data in the public domain substantiates their claim. However, the assertion itself indicates an awareness of toxic nanoparticles and their potential lethality.

What makes nanoweapons more problematic than nuclear weapons is our potential to lose control over them. For example, consider a nanobot that mimics an innocuous fly. It could be a surveillance or lethal nanoweapon. This may sound like science fiction, but it is not. On Dec. 16, 2014, the United States Army Research Laboratory announced development of a fly drone weighing only a small fraction of a gram. Using DARPA's Fast Lightweight Autonomy (FLA) program, which allows small drones to enter buildings and avoid crashing into objects, the fly drone could spy on an adversary from within the adversary's operations center. This gives a completely new meaning to “fly on the wall.” Alternately, it could deposit a small, but lethal amount of toxin in the adversary's food or water. The most lethal toxin known is botulism H. As little as 100 nanograms of botulism H is lethal to humans, who would be unable to smell, taste, or see that amount of toxin. Imagine 50 million fly drones, each able to deliver a lethal toxin. In that quantity, the fly drones become nanoweapons of mass destruction. However, a quantity of that size raises a question, How do we control these nanoweapons of mass destruction? If we lost control, the fly drones could spread beyond the adversary's boarder and begin killing indiscriminately. It becomes the technological equivalent of a bioweapon, but

does not fall under the Geneva Protocol.

It may be hard to believe, but nanoweapons of mass destruction are moving from science fiction to science fact. This brings us back to the title of the post, Will Nanoweapons of Mass Destruction (NMD) Be Our Final Invention?

For more looks at food nanotechnology, you can visit "Nanotechnology food coming to a fridge near you" or "Are you ready for your nano-engineered wine?" The final video below from Truth Seeker is titled "Smart Dust and Morgellons Explained".

Source: http://allnewspipeline.com/Nanotechnology_In_Food_What_Are_We_Really_Eating.php