

October 18, 2015

Scholars Debunk Global Warming 97% Consensus to Less Than 1%

Note: This article is posted in the interest of promoting educated viewpoints in the ongoing effort to expose and stop the global deployment of chemical aerosols into earth's atmosphere as demonstrated by, Jasper Kirkby, PhD. [\(Video\)](#)

While the covert aerosol program has apparently failed to warm the climate to fit the failed IPCC models the corrupt political media has changed the conversation from "warming" to the nebulous and Orwellian label. "climate change".

Despite the failure to warm the atmosphere to fit the IPCC model projections, global aerosol deployments, combined with electromagnetic (EME) eco-weapons can create weather disasters including, hurricane intensification, droughts, floods and relocation of warm air from one region to another as eco-terrorism. Another example would relocate warm air from southern latitudes into arctic regions in order to create suitable conditions for expanded arctic navigation, oil drilling and secret space weapons strategies.

Many historical documents track the effort to deliberately warm the arctic and global climate with geoengineering projects dating back to around the time of Standard Oil in 1875 – a fact that points to the oil and energy industries as benefactors in a warmer planet. [\(Source\)](#)

Although these eco-weapons are having a minimal effect at producing a planetary alteration to "warm" the total energy budget, the greater danger lies in the accumulated chemical pollution that destroys the stability of the upper atmosphere then descends into the breathable air, water and soil.

Cooks '97% consensus' disproven by a new peer reviewed paper showing major math errors

WUWT – Anthony Watts

"0.3% climate consensus, not 97.1%"

Download PDF: *Climate Consensus and 'Misinformation': A Rejoinder to Agnotology, Scientific Consensus, and the Teaching and Learning of Climate Change*

Download PDF: *COOK – Quantifying the 97% consensus on anthropogenic global warming in the scientific literature*

A major peer-reviewed paper by four senior researchers has exposed grave errors in an earlier paper in a new and unknown journal that had claimed a 97.1% scientific consensus that Man had caused at least half the 0.7 C° global warming since 1950.

A tweet in President Obama's name had assumed that the earlier, flawed paper, by John Cook and others, showed 97% endorsement of the notion that climate change is dangerous:

“Ninety-seven percent of scientists agree: #climate change is real, man-made *and dangerous.*” [Emphasis added]

The new paper by the leading climatologist Dr David Legates and his colleagues, published in the respected *Science and Education* journal, now in its 21st year of publication, reveals that Cook had not considered whether scientists and their published papers had said climate change was “dangerous”.

The consensus Cook considered was the standard definition: that Man had caused most post-1950 warming. Even on this weaker definition the true consensus among published scientific papers is now demonstrated to be not 97.1%, as Cook had claimed, but only 0.3%.

Only 41 out of the 11,944 published climate papers Cook examined explicitly stated that Man caused most of the warming since 1950. Cook himself had flagged just 64 papers as explicitly supporting that consensus, but 23 of the 64 had not in fact supported it.

This shock result comes scant weeks before the United Nations' climate panel, the IPCC, issues its fifth five-yearly climate assessment, claiming “95% confidence” in the imagined – and, as the new paper shows, imaginary – consensus.

***Climate Consensus and 'Misinformation': a Rejoinder to 'Agnotology, Scientific Consensus, and the Teaching and Learning of Climate Change'* decisively rejects suggestions by Cook and others that those who say few scientists explicitly support the supposedly near-unanimous climate consensus are misinforming and misleading the public.**

Dr Legates said: “It is astonishing that any journal could have published a paper claiming a 97% climate consensus when on the authors’ own analysis the true consensus was well below 1%.

“It is still more astonishing that the IPCC should claim 95% certainty about the climate consensus when so small a fraction of published papers explicitly endorse the consensus as the IPCC defines it.”

Dr Willie Soon, a distinguished solar physicist, quoted the late scientist-author Michael Crichton, who had said: “If it’s science, it isn’t consensus; if it’s consensus, it isn’t science.” He added: “There has been no global warming for almost 17 years. None of the ‘consensus’ computer models predicted that.”

Dr William Briggs, “Statistician to the Stars”, said: “In any survey such as Cook’s, it is essential to define the survey question very clearly. Yet Cook used three distinct definitions of climate consensus interchangeably. Also, he arbitrarily excluded about 8000 of the 12,000 papers in his sample on the unacceptable ground that they had expressed no opinion on the climate consensus. These artifices let him reach the unjustifiable conclusion that there was a 97.1% consensus when there was not.

“In fact, Cook’s paper provides the clearest available statistical evidence that there is scarcely any explicit support among scientists for the consensus that the IPCC, politicians, bureaucrats, academics and the media have so long and so falsely proclaimed. That was not the outcome Cook had hoped for, and it was not the outcome he had stated in his paper, but it was the outcome he had really found.”

Christopher Monckton of Brenchley, an expert reviewer for the IPCC’s imminent *Fifth Assessment Report*, who found the errors in Cook’s data, said: “It may be that more than 0.3% of climate scientists think Man caused at least half the warming since 1950. But only 0.3% of almost 12,000 published papers say so explicitly. Cook had not considered how many papers merely implied that. No doubt many scientists consider it possible, as we do, that Man caused *some* warming, but not *most* warming.

“It is unscientific to assume that most scientists believe what they have neither said nor written.”

Source: <http://chemtrailsplanet.net/2015/10/18/scholars-debunk-global-warming-97-consensus-to-less-than-1/>