

CHEMTRAILS:FACT “GOVERNMENT REPORT 128 PAGE PDF FILE” NO LONGER A CONSPIRACY

/ Chemtrails Over DC / HAARP and Chemtrails / Poison, Weather Modification, Geo-Engineering, Or What?! Large Chemtrail Event Over DC/Frederick MD. 11/3/11

NOVEMBER 4, 2011 BY ADMIN



CHEMTRAILS – IT’S FACT “GOVERNMENT REPORT 128 PAGE PDF FILE” NO LONGER A CONSPIRACY

Reference to Barium in the HAARP patent report:

“It has also been proposed to release large clouds of barium in the magnetosphere so that photo ionization will increase the cold plasma density, thereby producing electron precipitation through enhanced whistler-mode interaction.”

Government ADMITS secretly SPRAYING POISON on us!!! Also admit secret tests hundreds of times!

Look up. Chances are, if you do this daily, it won't be long before you see a chemtrail, and probably a bunch of them.

Obama Crimes Rally – Chemtrails over D.C

<http://youtu.be/YEWGaUMWw9o>

Chemtrails In DC Area:

It was nice blue sky in the morning 7:00am later between 8:00am to 11:00am started heavy spraying now 1 :00pm whole DC area sky is covered with clouds....



Definition of a contrail: A contrail occurs when a plane travels at a high altitude (about 30,000 ft. or more) and compresses the air into a water vapor or ice crystals through jet engines or the wing tips pushing through the air. This trail disappears after a minute or so due to evaporation, because it's water. This is normal.

Definition of a chemtrail: The term "chemtrail" is a relatively new word, which appeared in the last ten years or more with the appearance of chemtrails. A chemtrail is not normal.

A chemtrail is very different from a contrail. At first a chemtrail might look a bit like a contrail. However, instead of disappearing like a contrail does, a chemtrail just keeps spreading out and forming a hazy cloud bank. These trails traverse the whole sky and stay for up to around five or even eight hours. They have been known to turn what was originally a clear blue sky into a grey haze.

It is believed chemtrails are composed at least in part by an oil based substance of unknown content that is actually being sprayed from jets on populated areas. It has also been found that some chemtrails contain unknown biological components. Many also contain metals, especially barium. (See BariumBlues.com)

No one knows why they are being sprayed, or who is doing it. All we do know that it is being done to us regularly, in many different countries, without our permission. One strange thing about chemtrails is that all though they are readily seen, few people will believe that they are not 'normal'!

Chemtrails are often seen to be sprayed by white planes with no markings. Sometimes you will see these planes give off no contrail at all, and immediately after the same kind of plane in the same area will start to leave a thick chemtrail. At other times, distinct short gaps in chemtrails have been photographed, as though the plane ran out of chemicals and then had to start spraying with a new supply (as in the photo below).







Chemtrails Exposed!

Chemtrails 2011 exposed documentary

<http://youtu.be/Z1dO7TEer0c>

Biggest Chemtrail Disspersment I've Ever Seen 5-31-2011 FRESNO,

The Intel Hub

By Peter Kirby

October 10, 2011

Related: Exposed: Secret Presidential Chemtrail Budget Uncovered, Congress Exceeds Billions To Spray Populace Like Roaches

Why In The World Are They Spraying?

Note: In the coming weeks and months, The Intel Hub will continue to cover and expose the widespread geoengineering of our planet.

This is one of the most IMPORTANT issues facing us today and, due to the sheer amount of unanswered questions, we feel we need to focus at least some of our resources on this topic. We NEED whistleblowers! If you have any information on chemtrails, please send it to tips@intelhub.com. You can be kept 100% anonymous.

According to all United States federal government organizations, chemtrails do not exist and/or are a conspiracy theory. They claim that the white lines in the sky stretching from horizon to horizon are normal jet contrails.

Somehow, I assume using theoretical physics, these contrails can first appear as small white

lines high in the sky then, over the course of hours, expand as they float down to earth first creating small clouds and finally a haze. This is exactly what is happening.

They were spraying us something fierce today above San Francisco. They often do it before a weather front is coming in. There were lines in every direction, a big X in the sky and then the inevitable haziness.

Everybody saw it. Many people knew what it was. Many do not want to realize it, so they may never. If you want to be a good slave, I'm sure you will bend over and take the easy way out. I do not appeal to the slave rather I appeal to the person who has the courage to stand up for humanity and accept themselves as the only authority. I suggest that you read the following exposé and ask the tough questions about what OUR government says is NOT happening.

worldgathering.net/stop

STOP CHEMTRAILS LOOK UP IN THE SKIES
PLANES ARE SPRAYING CHEMICALS
CAUSING SEVERE SICKNESS
LOOK ON INTERNET: CHEMTRAILS

CONTACT GOVERNMENT
LOOK IN THE SKIES

STOP CHEMTRAILS

BARIUM
ALUMINIUM

CHEMTRAILS ARE TOXIC
BARIUM AND ALUMINIUM
CAUSING SEVERE SICKNESS
LOOK ON INTERNET: CHEMTRAILS

RESPIRATORY PROBLEMS
FLU AND EXHAUSTION
ASTHMA PNEUMONIA
HEADACHES AND ALLERGY
PESTICIDE SMELL IN AIR

LOOK UP IN THE SKIES
PLANES ARE SPRAYING CHEMICALS
CAUSING SEVERE SICKNESS
LOOK ON INTERNET: CHEMTRAILS

LOOK UP

PHONE RADIO DJs

A typical chemtrail appears as a white line in the sky. These lines become diffuse as they float down to earth. Heavy spraying creates a thick haze over vast areas.

Often, in certain areas of the country, you will see grid patterns in the sky formed by chemtrails. If you watch closely, you will see that these white lines in the sky come from passing jets.

The fact that these exhausts persist means that they are of particulate matter. Contrails are unadulterated and typically disappear within 2 minutes while chemtrails can linger for hours. The word 'chemtrail' is derived from the word 'contrail.'

At the time of this writing, chemtrails are appearing almost every day above San Rafael and San Francisco. By most accounts, chemtrail spraying became nationally prevalent in the mid 1990's.

There is a political grouping among affected nations; almost all are NATO members. Interestingly, there is reportedly no spraying in South America, China or Russia, etc.. (Some have pointed out that there are indeed chemtrails seen and filmed in Russia. This would indicate that there may be a world body that supersedes all problems that nations have with each other in their bid to spray chemtrails worldwide.)

Circumstantial Evidence:

'Geoengineers' propose spraying megatons of toxins into the atmosphere utilizing jet airplanes. They say it can save us from global warming. They don't admit that it is currently happening, they just say it might be good.

Many people calling themselves geoengineers have appeared on television and Internet broadcasts proposing this activity. Geoengineering is similar to 'cloud seeding' (which also pollutes our environment), but it is something new in that different materials are disbursed and it is on a much bigger scale.

The 'Father of the Hydrogen Bomb,' Edward Teller is widely recognized for his early work in the field. United States Science Czar John Holdren and University of Calgary professor David Keith are currently the most prominent proponents. Mr. Holdren co-authored a 1977 book called 'Ecoscience' in which he openly advocates population reduction.

The Fund for Innovative Climate and Energy Research (FICER), an organization funded by Mr. Bill Gates, gives grants to the University of Calgary. FICER proposes "...adding reflective aerosols (small particles suspended in air) to the stratosphere..." Mr. Bill Gates is a population reduction advocate.

Many United States patents describe how substances can be disbursed through airplane spray nozzles. One of the the most important patents is U.S. Patent #5003186 'Stratospheric Welsbach Seeding for Reduction of Global Warming' which was filed by the Hughes Aircraft Corporation in 1991. The Hughes Aircraft Corporation is now a division of Raytheon. Another is U.S. Patent #3899144 'Powder Contrail Generation' assigned to the United States Navy which was filed on July 22, 1974.

The 1996 U.S. Air Force research paper 'Weather as a Force Multiplier: Owning the Weather in 2025' was produced by the Department of Defense and written as "...a study designed to comply with a directive from the chief of staff of the Air Force." This report explains how spraying stuff into the atmosphere with airplanes is good and how our military might go about doing this.

The United Nations backed Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change issued a 1999 report with a cover photo of three jet planes flying in formation and emitting trails entitled 'Aviation and the Global Atmosphere.' This report contained chapters titled, "Aviation-Produced Aerosols and Cloudiness" and "Modeling the Chemical Composition of the Future Atmosphere."

The Council on Foreign Relations has issued many publications about geoengineering. Their 2008 paper entitled 'Unilateral Geoengineering: Non-technical Briefing Notes for a Workshop at the Council on Foreign Relations' proposes spraying fine particles into the stratosphere.

The U.K. Royal Society published a 2009 report titled 'Geoengineering the Climate: Science, Governance and Uncertainty' in which they propose geoengineering and state, "A wide range of types of particles could be released into the stratosphere with the objective of scattering sunlight back to space."

The British House of Commons collaborated with the United States House of Representatives Science and Technology Committee to release a report on March 10, 2010 entitled 'The Regulation of Geoengineering' in which they advocate "...the release of large quantities of aerosols into the atmosphere."

Aurora Flight Sciences published a 2010 cost analysis study prepared under contract to the University of Calgary entitled 'Geoengineering Cost Analysis' which stated:

"The goal of this study is to use engineering design and cost analysis to determine the feasibility and cost of a delivering material to the stratosphere for solar radiation management (SRM)." [sic] In this report, the authors write about utilizing different kinds of aircraft, including blimps, to spray stuff into the atmosphere.

Ted Gunderson (former head of the Los Angeles, Dallas and Memphis FBI) claims to have witnessed huge, unmarked chemtrail spraying planes on the ground at the Lincoln, Nebraska Air National Guard facilities. He also says that chemtrail spraying planes operate out of Fort Sill Oklahoma.

A name that comes up a lot is Evergreen Aviation. They are a private aviation services company based in McMinnville, Oregon. They have a website. They describe themselves as, "a global leader providing quality and reliable total aviation support services."

They also have extensive operations at the Pinal Airpark in Marana, Arizona. Pinal Airpark and Evergreen Aviation have extensive ties to the CIA. During the Vietnam War, Pinal Airpark served as the base of operations for the known CIA front companies Air America, Air Asia and Intermountain Airlines. In 1975, Intermountain Airlines was bought by Evergreen Aviation.

The 2008 feature film 'Toxic Skies' starring Anne Heche is a fictional drama involving chemtrails.

Local professional news reporters around the nation have investigated and/or made mention of the phenomenon many times.

There has been formal discussion of chemtrails in the New Zealand Parliament. Multi-term Arizona state senator Karen Johnson has been an outspoken critic.

U.S. congressman Dennis Kucinich used the word 'chemtrails' in proposed national legislation. The word appeared in the 'Space Preservation Act of 2001.' On September 10, 2002 the City Council of Berkeley, California, in support of Congressman Kucinich's Space Preservation Act, enacted Resolution #61744, declaring the space 60 kilometers and above Berkeley as a space-based weapons free zone.

On September 14th, Congressman Kucinich was officially presented the resolution by Councilmember Dona Spring, who initiated the resolution before 700 people in Wheeler Auditorium. The audience gave Kucinich and Spring a standing ovation.

Victoria, British Columbia has declared itself a 'No-Fly Zone' for chemtrail aircraft. Marin County Board of Supervisors, District 1 Representative Susan Adams has voiced interest in chemtrail legislation, stating, "I will continue to monitor your efforts and if there is federal legislation or regulation being considered which the county can send a letter of support for, please let me know."

Third Senatorial District California State Senator Mark Leno recognizes chemtrails. He responded to my letter about chemtrails by stating, "Thank you for writing to share the information on chemtrails. I appreciate your taking the time to voice your concerns regarding this important issue. As we begin to learn more about chemtrails and begin to have more in depth conversations about their use, I will keep the information you have forwarded in mind."

There is a global grass roots political movement against chemtrails. There are hundreds of thousands of independent eyewitness accounts on the Internet. Millions of people are already fully chemtrail aware. People from all walks of life around the world have come forward and spoken out against chemtrails. I have documentation of airline pilots, police officers, U.S. Marines, air traffic controllers, a senior Air Traffic Control manager, Air Force tanker crews, scientists and more speaking up.

Accounts:

This section is comprised of excerpts from the 2004 book 'Chemtrails Confirmed' by William Thomas (Bridger House Publishers / ISBN 1-893157-10-5). William Thomas is an award winning Canadian journalist. Thomas' writing and photography have appeared in more than 50 publications in eight countries, including translations into French, Dutch and Japanese. His editorial commentaries have appeared in The Globe and Mail, The Toronto Star, The Vancouver Sun and Times-Colonist newspapers.

A frequent radio talk-show guest, William Thomas has also appeared on the CBC and New Zealand's national television. He currently lives and works among the Gulf Islands of British Columbia.

It was nearly noon when S.T. Brendt awoke and entered the kitchen of her country home in Parsonfield, Maine. As she poured her first cup of coffee, the late night reporter for WMWV radio could not guess that her life was minutes away from drastic change. Her partner Lou Aubuchont was already up, puzzling over what he had seen in the sky a half hour before. The fat puffy plumes arching up over the horizon were unlike any contrail he had ever seen, even during his hitch in the Navy.

Lou got up and looked. What kind of clouds run exactly side-by-side in a straight line? He wondered. It's just too perfect to happen naturally. When he said he wasn't sure, S.T. stopped smiling and went outside.

Looking up towards the southeast over West Pond, she spotted the first jet. A second jet was laying billowing white banners to the north. Both aircraft appeared to be over 30,000 ft.

Turning her gaze due west, Brendt saw two more lines extending over the horizon. She called Lou. Within 45 minutes the couple counted 30 jets.

This isn't right, S.T. thought. We just don't have that kind of air traffic here. While Lou kept counting, she went inside and started calling airports. One official she reached was guarded but friendly. He had relatives in West Pond.

The Air Traffic Control manager told Brendt her sighting was "unusual". His radars showed nine commercial jets during the same 45-minute span. From her location, he said, she should have been able to see one plane.

And the other 29? The FAA official confided off the record that he had been ordered "by higher civil authority" to re-route inbound European airliners away from a "military exercise" in the area. "Of course they wouldn't give me any of the particulars and I don't ask," he explained. "I just do my job."

Excited and puzzled by this information, S.T. and Lou got into their car and headed down Route 160. Looking in any direction they could see 5 or 6 jets flying over 30,000 ft. Never in the dozen years they'd lived in rural Maine, had they seen so much aerial activity. As a former U.S. Navy intelligence courier, Aubuchont was used to large-scale military exercises. But he told S.T. he had never seen anything this big.

"It looked like an invasion," he later recounted. Another driver almost went off the road as he leaned over his dashboard trying to look up. As they passed, he acknowledged them with a nod.

As far as they could see stretched line after line. Two giant grids were especially blatant. In stead of dissipating like normal contrails, these sky trails grew wider and wider and began to merge. Looking towards the sun, Aubuchont saw what looked like "an oil and water mixture" reflecting a prismatic band of colors.

At approx. 3:55 they headed home to Parsonfield. They (the jets) were still up there. What's worse is that these grids were now merging to the point of greying their beautiful skies... By 5:30 their beautiful day had turned dingy and hazy like air pollution and the sunset was dirty. Lou remembers seeing the last jets at about 5:15 pm leaving chemtrails. They were spaced further apart than the earlier jets.

Richard Dean called back. After receiving S.T.'s message, the assistant WMWV news director had gone outside with other news staff and counted 370 lines in skies usually devoid of aerial activity. The most jets they could see at any one time was 17.

There is plenty of pattern and precedent for unannounced biowarfare experiments on the American public. Two congressional investigations in 1977 and 1994, as well as recently declassified British defense documents, detail five decades of "open air" testing that spread biological warfare simulants from spray-equipped ships and aircraft to hundreds of cities and millions of unsuspecting taxpayers across the U.S., Canada and the U.K. Even as aerial atomic detonations were being conducted upwind of U.S. cities to test the effects of fallout on unsuspecting residents, air-borne biowarfare tests began in earnest in

1957 and 1958 when a cargo plane criss-crossed the country, spraying highly toxic zinc cadmium sulfide chemicals.

A U.S. Army report states, "Virtually, the whole country of the United States was covered with this material."

Prof. Leonard Cole testified at the first congressional investigation into large area biowarfare experiments. The author of *Clouds of Secrecy* described how:

"The plane would take off from the Canadian border, and fly slowly down to the Gulf of Mexico. These materials would be spread, and were found to be landing as far away as New York State. So you know that, as the air would push the zinc cadmium particles around, most of the people who were residents of the United States during that time were inhaling at least some of the zinc cadmium sulfide. And the cadmium in that compound was clearly known then to be toxic, even in small amounts."

Congress also learned that in 1953 the U.S. Army sprayed fluorescent particles containing carcinogenic cadmium sulfide over 25-square-block areas of downtown St. Louis, including what the army called a "slum area". The U.S. Public Health Service's toxic chemical registry warns that cadmium sulfide can lead to lung cancer, high blood pressure and damage to the kidneys, lung, liver – as well as damaging the nervous, reproductive and immune systems.

A story published by WorldNetDaily in 2001 – "Californians Concerned Over Chemtrails" – was one of the first to take eyewitnesses seriously.

Reporter Lance Lindsay interviewed David Oglesby. Just after sun-down two months previously, the World War II veteran spotted nearly a dozen white trails, dissipating slowly over his home. "The trails formed a grid pattern," Oglesby told Lindsay. "Some stretched from horizon to horizon; some began abruptly and others ended abruptly. They hung in the air for an extended period of time and gradually widened into wispy clouds, resembling spider webs. I counted at least 11 different trails."

Lindsay also examined dozens of photos taken over 12-months by Paula Glick. Her father Gene Shimer served four years as a radar technician during the Korean War. The retired U.S. Air Force sergeant does not believe the patterns over his Coarsegold home are normal contrails.

"I was talking to my dad," Glick remembers, "and he said, 'They're gridding us up here'. I thought, 'Yeah, right dad. Sure they are.' I didn't believe him."

Four months after moving from Ventura to Coarsegold, Glick had to reassess her father's assessment. "I had to watch them do it," Glick told Lindsay. "And as I watched them everyday doing it, it became apparent that we were being sprayed. And so I had to believe. I saw what was normal and I saw what was abnormal."

Shimer contacted the Military Operation Control at Travis Air Force Base, which controls all military operations in Central California. Shimer asked the colonel to identify three planes flying over his home at that very moment. "What would you say if I said there are three aircraft up there right now?" Shimer asked. "Are they there?"

The colonel replied, "No. They are not there."

Navy operations told Shimer there were no operations at all. The flight-control office at Fresno Yosemite International Airport said no flight plans had been filed.

But Ira Plumber is a retired lieutenant colonel in the U.S. Air Force who regularly watches the sky over his Coarsegold home. Several times a week, he sees planes emitting significant sky trails that do not appear to be normal contrails, Plumber says.

As Lindsay chronicles:

One morning, about two years ago, Shimer spotted a long, thin string resembling a spider web floating in the sky, he said. It was about 20 feet in length and could only be seen in the reflection of the sun. He looked up, saw trails, and then saw something that appeared to be a glob of foam falling from the sky. "It came floating down, free-floating," Shimer says. "I caught it with a spatula, scooped it off the ground, and I watched it as it shrank. It was about the size of my fist when it first started. It looked like a cross between soap bubbles and cotton candy." He placed the substance in a plastic bag and kept it. It is now about the size of his thumbnail, he says. It has hardened' now appearing to be made of plastic. He did not have it lab tested. He doesn't have enough faith in any lab to give them his only sample, he says. He believes it is probably polymer.

Dave Dickie's World Landscapes company performs contract landscape work for the City of Edmonton. "Some contracts require us to utilize the services of environmental labs for soil tests," says Dickie. "Recent soils analysis have come back with a high EC rating 4:1 (toxic) and we've had some soil sources rejected of course they did not meet specifications." In an interview with me on Nov. 23, 2002, Dickie explained that city landscape crews were finding widespread nutrient deficiency soils could cause severe problems for plant life – including trees.

"Wait," I interrupted. "Aluminum sucks nutrients from the soil."

"No question" answered this soil expert. Moreover, added Dickie when measuring the electrical conductivity in Edmonton soil sample "city specifications call for a reading no higher than 1."

Dickie's crews are now finding readings from 4.6 as high as 7.

The "chlorosis" condition resulting from this drastically high electrical conductivity in soil was impacting their landscaping business, Dickie explained. "We were not able to determine the cause of the EC [electrical conductivity], and many reasons are possible."

Presuming that unusual metal content in the soil could be causing the high readings, Dickie obtained samples of a fresh snowfall in sterile containers and took them to NorWest Labs in Edmonton. As explained, "Our most recent snowfall was tested for aluminum and barium and we were not surprised with the results. You've said it all and this just substantiates some of your claims."

In Nov. 2002, lab tests of snow samples collected by the city of Edmonton, Alberta between Nov. 8 – 12, confirmed elevated levels of aluminum and barium. NorWest Labs lab report

#336566 dated Nov. 14, 2002 found: aluminum levels at 0.148F milligrams/litre and barium levels of 0.006 milligrams/litre.

Because aluminum is ubiquitous in the environment, and its chemistry depends on soil pH and mineralogical composition, it is difficult to provide generalized estimates of natural background concentrations.

But according to Dickie, the NorWest Lab techs told him, "That's interesting. Elevated levels of aluminum and barium are not usually found in Alberta precipitation."

"It may not prove that the aluminum came from atmospheric programs," Dickie admits.

"However we are going to sample precipitation from various areas within a 40 mile radius of the City of Edmonton to determine aluminum/barium within precipitation."

Dickie says it's simple to test for aluminum and barium in soil samples. Labs typically charge about \$15 for these tests. I suggested he add quartz to the list of chemtrail fallout components to check for. In Espanola, quartz predominated rainfall samples, which also showed hazardous levels of aluminum.

Though it must be emphasized that neither Dickie nor NorWest Labs are making any claims regarding these early test results, the correlation of known chemtrail chemistry with Edmonton's soil samples is compelling.

This was hot. But imagine my shock when Dickie told me that he regularly visits Air Traffic Control at the Edmonton municipal airport and watches the chemplanes making repeated passes over the city!

"I've been a plane spotter all my life," Dickie explained. Blessed with good friends at work in the tower, he has watched radar-identified KC-135s "on many occasions."

Last Father's Day (2002), Dickie and an excited group of 12 year-olds watched two sorties by two KC-135s. Petro 011 and Petro 012 were tracked by radar as HA (High Altitude) targets flying at 34,000 and 36,000 feet – "one to the south, and one to the north of the city."

Both USAF tankers had flown south out of Alaska. As Dickie, the kids and the controllers watched, the big jets began making patterns over Edmonton – "circuits" the controllers called it. The Stratotankers were working alone in "commanded airspace" from which all other aircraft were excluded.

And they were leaving chemtrails.

"The signature is significant," commented one radar operator, referring to a trail clearly visible on the scope extending for miles behind the KC-135. In contrast, a JAL flight on the display left no contrail.

Going outside, Dickie and several controllers scanned clear blue skies. They easily located the KC-135 leaving its characteristic white-plume "signature". Visibility was outstanding. They also clearly saw the JAL airliner at a similar flight level. It left no contrail at all.

On other occasions, Dickie has watched KC-135s on Edmonton radar leaving lingering trails as low as 18,000 feet.

“We see these guys up here a lot,” Dickie says radar techs told him. The tanker flights originate in Alaska, grid the Edmonton area, and continue on into the States.

~

On Sunday Feb. 16, 2003, the mass circulation Greek newspaper Ethnos headlined its lead story: “Scientists Uneasy: Dangerous experiments in the Greek skies”.

The story’s subheading must have turned a few heads: “American aircraft are spraying the atmosphere with chemicals with a view to creating an artificial cloud as an ‘antidote’ to the Greenhouse Effect.”

The story by Giannis Kritikos described a vote by the Aigina Island town council to ask the Greek government to explain what is happening in the skies over Greece.

A picture taken from Chemtrails Confirmed was used to illustrate similar phenomenon observed over Aigina “without any warning” two months previously.

The translated caption read: “The white trails left behind by this tanker plane which is used normally for aerial refueling, is not smoke but a cloud of chemicals which provide scientists with the ability to control the climate in an entire geographic region.”

A photograph of Leonidas Kardaras, an environmental chemist with a master’s degree in environmental technology was paired with a shot of Katsaros from the Democritus research centre in Athens, with the inscription: “The two scientists point out to our correspondent the dangers to public health entailed in the specific experiments.”

A third photo showed former parliamentarian Tasia Andreadaki asking, “Who gave permission for this spraying?” The story noted that Katsaros had been invited to speak about chemtrails on Greek national television a few mornings later.

~

The following unedited transcript is a recorded message from a Dec. 8, 2000 call by a Canadian aviation authority from the Victoria International Airport to a local resident. Stewart was responding to a call the previous day demanding to know why intense aerial activity had left lingering X’s, circles and grid-like plumes over the British Columbia capitol on Dec. 6 and 7.

“Mark, it’s Terry Stewart, Victoria Airport Authority. Just calling you back from your comment. From what I gather, it’s a military exercise; U.S. and Canadian air force exercise that’s goin’ on. They wouldn’t give me any specifics on it. Hope that helps your interest. Very odd. Thanks a lot. Bye bye now.”

All this and much, much more can be found in William Thomas’ groundbreaking book ‘Chemtrails Confirmed’ available at his website willthomasonline.net Click on the ‘bookstore’ tab and you will be taken to a page where you can order a copy of ‘Chemtrails Confirmed,’ download an electronic copy of ‘Chemtrails Confirmed’ or order the ‘Chemtrails Confirmed’ companion DVD which contains a copy of William’s documentary

video 'Mystery Lines in the Sky'.

Scientific Evidence:

Hundreds of independent lab test results from around the world too numerous to mention here have formed a consensus.

The main ingredients in chemtrails are aluminum, barium and strontium (actually there are about 15 metals in chemtrails, all with electromagnetic properties – MC). As you are about to see, professional scientists have corroborated these findings.

Frances Mangels has a Bachelor of Science in Forestry from the International School of Forestry at Missoula, spent 35 years with the U.S. Forest Service as a wildlife biologist and worked several years with the USDA Soil Conservation Service as a soil conservationist.

Today he lives in Mt. Shasta, CA and works as a master gardener. He took a sample of water from his backyard rain gauge on Feb. 1, 2009 and submitted it to Basic Laboratory of Redding, CA on Feb. 2, 2009. This sample showed aluminum at a level of 1010 micrograms per liter (ug/l).

This same sample also showed barium at a level of 8 ug/l. Using the same sample method and laboratory, he took a sample on Oct. 14, 2009 which showed aluminum at a level of 611 ug/l. The barium should not be there in any amount. Chemtrails have been known to consist of both barium carbonate and barium oxide. Barium carbonate is used in rat poison. The normal level of aluminum in rainwater is .5 ug/l.

These samples show levels of aluminum at 2020 times and 1222 times the normal levels. There is no heavy industry in the Mt. Shasta area. There is no reason, other than chemtrails, for this stuff to be showing up at these levels.

Mr. Mangles writes on Oct. 30, 2009:

“The soil scientists from the USDA Soil Conservation Department visited private property east of Shasta Lake, California, on Oct. 27, 2009. Mr. Bailey, Komar, and Owens tested the pH with standard federal meters. All agreed the pH should be 5.5.

Under Douglas fir, the ph was 7.4, astoundingly basic for that habitat.

Under Poderosa pine, at the precise soil-needle interface, I would expect a pH of 5. At that point, Bailey’s meter showed 6.5. This is high for a microhabitat that should be very acid. Old soil surveys indicate this soil should be very acid, around pH of 5.5.

I bought a house in Mt. Shasta old black oak/pine pasture in 2002, tested the pH at below 6, good for vegetable gardening. It was a major reason for purchase, and proceeded with highly acid composting of leaves and grass to drive the pH down or at least keep it low, as every master gardener knows.

I added a touch of sulphur and avoided wood ash to insure acidity, and proceeded to teach organic gardening courses out of my yard through COS. The pH tests were an embarrassment because now my garden is pH 7, sometimes higher. This is the opposite of what should happen.

The pH meter of Jon McClellan proceeded to show pH in McCloud gardens also running close to 7 or 8, which is too high for heavy organic mulch with no ashes. General lawns were also running over pH 7 under oaks and pines and fir trees. This is contrary to everything I learned in college and the Soil Conservation Service for 35 years.

The old data sheets say these soils should be running at a pH of 5-6.

I tested my rainwater in a plastic NWS rain gauge set high on a pole, and got 1010 ug/l aluminum, with substantial amounts of barium and strontium included, where it should be non-detectable. Others from the West Coast have similar repeated results, from the Bay Area to Washington.”

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) produced data showing elevated levels of barium in surface water between 1988-2004. Along with other elevated readings, a sample from the East Verde River near Payson, AZ taken on July 19, 1999 showed barium at a level of 340 micrograms per liter (ug/l). The EPA says the average surface water concentration of barium is 43 ug/l.

The ADEQ analyzed many water samples taken at different times from the Nogales Wash Channel between 1993 and 2002. Among other elevated readings, they found barium at levels of: 850 ug/l, 950 ug/l and 900 ug/l. The EPA says that 99.4% of surface water contains 2 to 340 ug/l barium.

The ADEQ analyzed many water samples taken at different times between 1988-2004 at the Verde River Below Horseshoe Dam. Among many other elevated readings, a sample taken on July 19, 1990 showed barium at a level 560 ug/l and another sample taken within a year showed barium at a level of 700 ug/l.

In June of 1996, the ADEQ analyzed water samples taken from the Buckeye Canal. The lab report showed barium at a level of 570 parts per million.

In July of 1999, the ADEQ sampled water from the Little Colorado River Basin and found aluminum at 150,000 ug/l or 150 mg/l or .15 g/l. This reading is made even more interesting by the fact that in September of 2002 AND in March of 2005, the ADEQ tested the same river basin and found NO aluminum. What accounted for this giant aluminum spike?

As far as water contamination is concerned, I must report that a lot of available data which would otherwise support the chemtrail hypothesis is misleading and unreliable.

The data to which I am referring is that which comes from the California Department of Public Health Drinking Water Program (CDPHDWP). Online, this organization is also referred to as the California Department of Health Sciences Drinking Water Program.

The CDPHDWP produces and distributes publicly a data CD entitled ‘California Drinking Water Data’. This CD purports to represent toxicology data collected from all California water

districts. The problem is that, after checking with my local water districts and the CDPHDWP, it is apparent that the data contained in this CD is very unreliable.

My local water districts tell me that this is because the CDPHDWP is using an outdated data collection method. Furthermore, websites which use this data as support for the chemtrail hypothesis miss the point that the data CD in question represents (and poorly so) PROCESSED DRINKING WATER when we should be looking at UNPROCESSED or 'SURFACE WATER' (the water found in reservoirs).

Online you will find many graphs based on data contained in the CDPHDWP data CD showing incredible levels of many different toxins. All these graphs are not to be trusted without a local water department confirmation and even in that case, the data is not wholly pertinent.

Data produced by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) shows elevated levels of chemtrail toxins. Between 1990 and 2002, CARB ambient air statewide average data shows elevated and increasing levels of aluminum and barium. From 1990 to 2002, aluminum was detected in the range of 1500 to 2000 nanograms per cubic meter.

Barium, which between 1990 and 2002 consistently trended upwards, reached a peak of 50.8 nanograms per cubic meter in 2002. The CARB classifies aluminum and barium as toxic compounds. The CARB website says, "For toxics compounds, there is generally no threshold concentration below which the air is healthy. For toxics compounds, the greater the quantified health risk, the more unhealthy the air is."

In other words, ANY aluminum or barium is unhealthy. There ARE NO SAFE LEVELS except zero. Remember, these are STATEWIDE AVERAGES. God forbid you might be living in an area that increased the average.

You may ask why I am only referencing data up to 2002. This is 2011. Where is the missing data? The answer is that data from between 1990 and 2002 is the only data which the CARB has widely distributed.

As far as statewide averages for ambient aluminum and barium are concerned, these years are the only years which their website and their 'California Ambient Air Quality Data' DVD show. Their Public Information Officer Dimitri Stanich curiously refused to answer questions about the missing data. He referred me to documents which did not address the issue.

After discussions with staff, Mike Miguel, the chief of the Quality Management Branch of the Monitoring and Laboratory Division, wrote me saying, "It is my understanding that the toxics air monitoring network (samples collected in Summa canisters) stoped analyzing for these compounds due to the low concentrations. However, the PM2.5 network does analyze for these compounds and that data was provided in the analyses and CD." [sic]

A statewide average of barium at 50.8 nanograms per cubic meter and aluminum at 2000 are low concentrations? Any levels of detectable aluminum or barium have been classified as unhealthy. The concentrations were trending upwards. They stoppeded analyzing for these compounds?

I have scoured their website, written letters and made many phone calls to the CARB and I have not heard of or seen this missing data presented in any CD. Thankfully, other people have been asking for this missing data as well. The organization known as Environmental Voices requested the missing data and on September 15, 2010 they got it.

Amazingly, after data showing many years of elevated and increasing levels of aluminum and barium, this newly produced data showed MUCH LOWER levels. That's good news, right? I want to believe that everything is as it has always been! The problem is that the newly released data contradicts the previously released data.

Let us look at data for the year 2002 both new and old. 2002 is a year for which the CARB widely distributed data AND it is a year for which they have provided data to only a select few researchers such as I due to the efforts of Environmental Voices.

I will refer to the widely distributed data as the 'old' data and the thinly distributed data as the 'new' data. The old data says that in 2002 the statewide average for ambient aluminum was 1980 nanograms per cubic meter. The new data says that the statewide average in 2002 was 67.5 nanograms per cubic meter. The new data also says that statewide average aluminum concentrations generally remained at this level through to 2009.

As far as barium goes, the old data says that the statewide ambient air average barium concentration for 2002 was 50.8 nanograms per cubic meter. The new data says it was 27.5 nanograms per cubic meter. The new data says that statewide average barium concentrations only trended lower from 2002 to 2009. Why does the new data contradict the old data?

Are certain people at the CARB trying to hide something? Why does their Public Information Officer, whose job is to answer questions from the public, refuse to answer questions about missing data? Why does he obfuscate the truth by referring me to documents that don't answer the question? Why does one of their division chiefs' response not make sense? How is it that data released to only a select few magically makes the problem go away? Why don't they post this new data on their website? All their answers so far only leave me with more questions.

Again, there are hundreds, maybe thousands of other scientific confirmations of chemtrail toxins available. I have left those out in favor of only the most reputable data. Although the next logical subject would be the health effects of exposure to chemtrail toxins, I do not feel the need to write about that.

Aside from the fact that it is difficult to prove; I don't need to tell you that. I don't need to tell you that breathing particulate toxins all day and night is bad for you. I don't need to tell you that adding to the myriad of toxins in our food, water and air is bad for us. We know it is. There are many scientific papers supporting this position, but it is just common sense. We need to reduce the amount of toxins in our ecosystem, not increase them.

Conclusions:

There are fleets of jumbo jet aircraft which have been retrofitted to spray megatons of toxic substances over populations in most Western countries. They swarm over different areas at different times. The planes are flown by remote control because pilots are a liability. It is common knowledge that jumbo jets can be flown as drones. It is a military operation directed from an unknown location, but I speculate that it is conducted (at least in America and Canada) from NORAD command at Peterson AFB, Colorado Springs, CO under direction of a Western intelligence agency such as the C.I.A..

There has been speculation about chemtrails being produced by additives in the jet fuel of commercial passenger airliners. I do not believe this is the case. The mechanical problems would be insurmountable. Spiking the jet fuel with particulate matter would damage jet engines. Spiking the jet fuel would also greatly limit the variety and quantity of materials used. The reasons why are not clear. Their cover story of saving us all from Global Warming is too thin to be the real reason. Speculative reasons are: depopulation, making us dependent upon chemtrail resistant GMO seeds and crops, military surveillance purposes, facilitation of the H.A.A.R.P. weapon, facilitation of Project Blue Beam, the hiding of celestial events, and others. The most logical reason, depopulation, is almost too dark for my good mind to accept. I speculate chemtrail spraying operations are funded by the citizenry of Western nations through their central banks; most notably the United States Federal Reserve Bank.

You and I are being sprayed. Your family and mine are being sprayed. Your friends and mine are being sprayed. Our entire ecosystem and every living thing therein are being destroyed.

The chemtrail phenomenon is nothing short of genocide. Whether or not that was the intention, that is exactly what it is.

I have now proven to you that chemtrails are real. Do not live in denial. Denial equals death. Our collective ignorance is what is killing this society. Ignorance causes chemtrails. I ask that you reverse this trend. Ask the questions. Demand answers. I have armed you with the verifiable truth; now go out there and shake the trees.

Call and write your local office of: the Federal Aviation Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, the California Air Resources Board, the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the Department of Toxic Substances Control, ALL your government representatives, talk radio shows, and television stations. You are the one you have been waiting for. Thank you.

Notes:

- 'Chemtrails Confirmed' by William Thomas (book) 2004 Bridger House Publishers
- U.S. Patent #5003186 Stratospheric Welsbach Seeding for Reduction of Global Warming 1991
- 'Weather as a Force Multiplier: Owning the weather 2025' (Air Force research paper) 1996
- 'Aviation and the Global Atmosphere' (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report) 1999
- 'Geoengineering the Climate: Science, Governance and Uncertainty' (report by The U.K. Royal Society) 2009
- 'The Regulation of Geoengineering' (British House of Commons / U.S. House of Representatives Science and Technology Committee report) 2010

- 'Unilateral Geoengineering: Non-technical Briefing Notes for a Workshop at the Council on Foreign Relations', Washington DC, May 05, 2008
 - 'Geoengineering Cost Analysis' by Aurora Flight Sciences (report) 2010
 - 'Space Preservation Act of 2001' H.R. 2977
 - 'Resolution in Support of the Space Preservation Act and the Space Preservation Treaty to Permanently Ban the Weaponization of Space' (2002) City of Berkeley Resolution no. 61744
 - 'What in the World Are They Spraying?' by Michael Murphy, Paul Wittenberger and G. Edward Griffin (video) 2010 Truth Media Productions*
 - 'Aerosol Crimes' by Clifford Carnicom (video) 2005 carnicom.com*
- *Available for free on YouTube

Websites:

aircrap.org
geoengineeringwatch.org
carnicom.com
coalitionagainstgeoengineering.org
arizonaskywatch.com
arb.ca.gov
azdeq.gov

Source: <http://truedemocracyparty.net/2011/11/chemtrails-fact-government-report/>